

## REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Examiner Shelton is thanked for the thorough examination of the subject Patent Application. The Claims have been carefully reviewed and amended, and are considered to be in condition for allowance.

5           Reconsideration of the rejection under 35 USC §102(b) of Claims 21 and 82 as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 5,586,264 (Belknap) is requested in light of the following arguments.

          Claims 21 and 82 have been amended to incorporate the limitations of claims 22 and 83 respectively. The limitations of claims 22 and 83 are  
10   considered by the Examiner to be allowable if rewritten in independent form. Amendments of Claims 21 and 82 effect this requirement and therefore, the rejection therefore is considered moot. Claims 22 and 83 are cancelled.

          Claims 23-26, 29, 31, 36, 84-87, 92, 97, and 102 are amended to insure correct dependency.

15           The specification has been amended to correct the Section **Related Patent Applications** to incorporate the serial numbers and filing dates of the co-pending related applications.

The related art references made of record and not relied upon have been reviewed and it is agreed that they do not suggest the present detailed claimed invention.

Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance for all  
5 claims be issued in this case.

It is requested that should Examiner Shelton not find that the Claims are now allowable, that the undersigned be called at (845) 452-5863 to overcome any problems preventing allowance.

10

Respectfully Submitted,  
George O. Saile & Associates



Billy J. Knowles, Reg. No. 42,752  
Telephone: (845) 452-5863

15